NASZA DERMATOLOGIA Online OUR DERMATOLOGY Online	SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY OF <i>TOXOPLASMA</i> , <i>RUBELLA</i> , <i>CYTOMEGALOVIRUS</i> AND <i>HERPES SIMPLEX VIRUS -2</i> IN WOMEN WITH BAD OBSTETRIC HISTORY. PART II. <i>CYTOMEGALOVIRUS</i> AND <i>HERPES SIMPLEX VIRUS</i> INFECTIONS
	Abdulghani Mohamed Alsamarai <sup>1</sup> , Zainab Khalil Mohamed Aljumaili <sup>2</sup>
Source of Support: Nil Competing Interests: None	<sup>1</sup> Departments of Medicine, Tikrit University College of Medicine, Tikrit, Iraq <sup>2</sup> Departments of Microbiology, Tikrit University College of Medicine, Tikrit, Iraq <b>Corresponding author:</b> Prof. Abdulghani Mohamed Alsamarai <u>galsamarrai@yahoo.com</u>
Our Dermatol Online. 2013; 4(	4): 536-544         Date of submission: 18.07.2013 / acceptance: 04.10.2013

## Abstract

Bad obstetric history (BOH) is reported worldwide and is associated with social and psychological impacts. Cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex virus play an important role in the induction of adverse outcomes of pregnancy. Highest CMV IgG prevalence rate was reported for India (91.05%), while the lowest rate was reported for Iran (14.28%). Unfortunately, six studies in Iraq reported a high prevalence of CMV IgM in non-married, pregnant and women with BOH. The range of recent CMV infection in pregnant women with BOH was from 1.4% in Jordan to 60.2% in Iraq. In women with BOH, the highest HSV 2 prevalence (16.8%) was noted in India, while the lowest rate (1.69%) was reported in India also. In Arab countries, among women with BOH, HSV 2 IgG and IgM seroprevalence higher rates were reported for Iraq. This literature review highlights the high bacterial and viral maternal infection rate in the developing world. Urgent, concerted action is required to reduce the burden of these infections. In addition to raising awareness about the severity of the problem of maternal infections in the developing world, data from this review will be beneficial in guiding public health policy, research interests and donor funding towards achieving improvement in health care delivery.

Key words: TORCH; Toxoplasma; Rubella; CMV; Cytomegalovirus; HSV

#### Cite this article:

Abdulghani Mohamed Alsamarai, Zainab Khalil Mohamed Aljumaili: Seroepidemiology of Toxoplasma, Rubella, Cytomegalovirus and Herpes Simplex Virus -2 in Women with Bad Obstetric History. PART II. Cytomegalovirus and Herpes Simplex Virus Infections. Our Dermatol Online. 2013; 4(4): 536-544.

## **Cytomegalovirus:**

Primary *Cytomegalovirus* (CMV) infection during pregnancy is a frequent and serious threat to the fetuses of pregnant women [222]. The rate of susceptibility to CMV during pregnancy is also well established in many global countries [223,224]. Eight European countries (France, Belgium, Spain, Italy, Germany, Austria, Portugal, and the Netherlands) routinely screen the majority of pregnant women serologically for CMV [225,226]. This routine serologic screening occurs without the recommendations or guidelines of any governmental agency, authority, or a professional medical society. In Iraq, such screening program is not followed routinely and pregnant women screening order depends on personal interest of the clinicians. Routine serologic screening for CMV of pregnant

women in Europe has yielded very important advances in our understanding of CMV infections among pregnant women [227-230].

The major risk factor for maternal acquisition of CMV during pregnancy is frequent and prolonged contact with a child less than three years of age [231-235]. This occurs among women with a child in the home or among women employed in child care centers or schools [236-241]. Another group of high-risk women are those who are seronegative, young, and poor. Even for this group, contact with a young child is an independent predictor of delivering a CMV congenitally infected infant, as is a history of frequent sexual activity [235]. A recent study suggested that C MV is likely transmitted not only via the oral mucosal route, but also via the vaginal mucosal route [231].

# <u>Characteristics and results of studies reporting prevalence</u> <u>of maternal</u>

## **CMV** infection

Forty studies [1,3,36,86,89-93,95,96,167,170,171,182,204, 208,210,242-263] on maternal cytomegalovirus infection prevalence were identified (Tabl. V). The median prevalence of maternal IgG to CMV (calculated from 28 studies that reported this) was 92%, indicating a high proportion of mothers with previous exposure to CMV. Two hospital-based study in India (29.5%) [36] and Iran (28.58%) [262] identified a statistically significant higher prevalence of CMV IgM (indicating active or recent infection) in mothers with Bad Obstetric History (BOH), highlighting a role for maternal CMV infection in adverse pregnancy outcome in this setting. The highest prevalence of IgG in pregnant women was in Turkey [95] (98.9%, 1103 childbearing age women), while the lowest prevalence reported for Ireland [253] (30.4%, 1047 pregnant women). However, in women with BOH, the highest IgG prevalence rate was reported for India [3] (91.05%, 380 BOH), while the lowest rate was reported for Iran [262] (14.28%, 42 Aborted women).

Active or recent infection high prevalence rate in pregnant women was reported for Poland [252] (13%, 1332 Pregnant women), while the lowest rate was reported for Turkey [204] (0%, 249 Pregnant women). In women with BOH the highest prevalence rate of IgM was reported for India [36] and the lowest one was reported for India [91].

In Arab countries, twenty- two studies [35,99-101,108,117-119,129-131,139,147,216,221,264-269] on maternal CMV infection prevalence were identified (Tabl. VI). The median prevalence of maternal IgG to CMV was 77.8% indicating lower proportion of mothers with previous exposure to CMV as compared to global studies. Unfortunately, six studies in Iraq [100,101,117,129,264,266] reported a high prevalence of CMV IgM in non-married, pregnant and women with BOH. The range of active or recent CMV infection in pregnant women was from 2.3% in Jordan [269] to 57.2% in Iraq [129], while the range in women with BOH was from 1.4% in Jordan [269] to 60.2% in Iraq [100]. In pregnant women, maternal IgG to CMV prevalence higher rate was reported in Jordan [269] (88%, 260 pregnant women), while the lowest one was reported for Iraq [129] (77.8%, 180 pregnant women). In addition, in women with BOH, maternal IgG to CMV prevalence higher rate was reported for Jordan [269] (95%, 898 Aborted women), and the lowest one was reported in Iraq [99] (4.8%, 119 Aborted women).

Article	Location, setting of study	Type, duration of study	Population	Results
Tabatabaee et al, [242]	Iran, hospital	Cross-sectional, 7 months	1472 pregnant women	97.68% seropositive, prevalence of active infection 4.35%.
Das et al, 2007 [170]	India, hospital	Cross sectional study	1115 BOH	<ul><li>11% prevalence in BOH,</li><li>4% prevalence in normal</li><li>pregnant women</li></ul>
Ocak et al, [171]	Turkey, hospital	Retrospective observational study, 2 years	1652 pregnant women	94.9%seropositivity for anti-CMV IgG, 0.4%positive for anti-CMV IgM
Picone et al, [243]	France, Hospital	Cross sectional study prospective, 2 years	4287 pregnant women	46.8% IgG
Tamer et al, [167]	Turkey, antenatal Clinics	Cross sectional study,	1972 Pregnant women	97.1% IgG, 2.6% IgM
Surpam et al [86]	India, Antenatal clinic	Case control,	150 BOH	5.33% IgM
Uyar Y et al [182]	Turkey, Hospital	Case control, 1 year	600 Pregnant women	97.3% IgG, 1% IgM
Karabulut A et al [89]	Turkey, Antenatal clinic	Case control, 1 year	1000 Pregnant women	98.7% IgG, 1.2% IgM
Kumari N et al [1]	Nepal, Hospital	Case control, 4 months	12 BOH	8.3% seropositive
Nabi SN et al [90]	Bangladesh, Hospital	Case control, 10 months	111 Pregnant women	95.49% IgG, 0.9% IgM
Baschale MD [244]	Italy, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 years	2385 Pregnant women	92% IgG, 0.4% IgM
Sadik MS et al [91]	India, Hospital	Case control, 2 years	86 BOH	23.25% IgG, 0% IgM
Chopra S et al [36]	India, Antenatal clinic	Case control, 1 year	200 BOH	29.5% IgM
Koksaldi-Motor et al [95]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional, 1 year	1103 women childbearing age	98.9% IgG
Ozdemir M et al [204]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional, 6 months	249 Pregnant women	98.7% IgG, 0% IgM
Frischknecht F et al [92]	Switzerland, Hospital	Cross sectional, 1 yr	723 Pregnant women in labor	4.7% seropositive
Vilibik-Cavlek T, et al [96]	Croatia, Hospital	Cross sectional, 5 years	Pregnant & non pregnant women	75.3% IgG, 0.09% IgM
Sarawathy TS, et al [245]	Malaysia, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 2 years	125 Pregnant women	84% IgG, 7.2% IgM
Akinbami AA, et al [246]	Nigeria, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 months	179 Pregnant women	97.2% IgG,
Bagheri L, et al [247]	Iran, Hospital	Cross sectional, 3 months	240 Pregnant women	69.6% IgG, 2.5% IgM
Arabpour M, et al [248]	Iran, Hospital	Cross sectional, 5 years	844 childbearing age women	93% IgG, 5.4% IgM
Fable V. Characteristics and results of studies reporting prevalence of maternal CMV infection				

Article	Location, setting of study	Type, duration of study	Population	Results
Canon MJ, et al [249]	Global, Review	Review		45-100% seroprevalence
Ahmad RM, et al [250]	Nigeria, Hospital	Cross sectional,	90 Pregnant women	97.8% IgG
Seo S, et al [251]	Korea, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 months	744 Pregnant women	98.1% IgG, 1.7% IgM
Gaj Z, et al , [252]	Poland, Hospital	Cross sectional, 11 years	1332 Pregnant women	76.7% IgG, 13% IgM
Knowles SJ, et al [253]	Ireland, Hospital	Cross sectional, 1 year	1047 Pregnant women	IgG 30.4% in Irish & 89.7% in non- Irish women [Africa, Asia, E. Europe]
Yamamoto AY, et al [254]	Brazil, Hospital	Cross sectional	985 Pregnant women	97% seroprevalence
Odland JO, et al [208]	Russia, Hospital	Case control, 4 months	182 Pregnant & 127 Aborted women	78% versus 81.1% seroprevalence
Chen MH, et al [255]	Taiwan, Hospital	Cross sectional, 10 months	483 Pregnant mother	91.1% IgG, 3.5% IgM
Gumber S et al [256]	India, Hospital	Cross sectional, 17 months	150 BOH	4.67% IgM
Dollard SC, et al [257]	USA, Hospital	Cross sectional,	6067 Women 12-49 yrs	58% IgG, 3% IgM
Enders G, et al [258]	Germany, Hospital	Retrospective, 15 years	40 324 Pregnant women	42.3% IgG
Correa CB, et al [259]	Cuba, Hospital	Cross sectional, 1 year	1131 Pregnant women	92.6% seropositive, 2.4% active infection
Rajaii & Pourhasan [260]	Azerbaijan, University Lab.	Cross sectional, 4 years	2049 Women 20-35 yrs [of them 75 Pregnant]	88.53% IgG, 8.29% IgM, In Pregnant 66.7%seropositive
Turbadkar D, et al [3]	India, Antenatal clinic	Case control, 1 year	380 BOH	91.05% IgG, 8.42% IgM
Ashrafunnessa et al [261]	Bangladesh, Hospital	Case control, 11 months	420 Pregnant women	68.6% IgG, 5% IgM
Inagaki ADM, et al [93]	Brazil, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 1 year	9559 Pregnant women	76.6% IgG, 0.2% IgM
Falahi S, et al [262]	Iran, Hospital	Case control	42 BOH	14.28% IgG, 28.58% IgM
Ebadi p, et al [210]	Iran, Hospital	Case control, 3 years	120 BOH	78.33% seropositive
Oruc AS, et al [263]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional,5 years	11 360 Pregnant women	98.5% IgG, 0.3% IgM

 Table V. Characteristics and results of studies reporting prevalence of maternal CMV infection (continued).

Article	Location, setting of study	Type, duration of study	Population	Results
Hammouda et al [108]	Egypt, Hospital	Case control	100 BOH	51% Seroprevalence
Abdulmohaymen N [99]	Iraq, Baghdad, Hospital	Case control, 9 months	119 Aborted women	<ul><li>17.7% IgM recurrent spontaneous abortion</li><li>14.7% IgM non recurrent spontaneous abortion.</li><li>4.8% IgG recurrent spontaneous abortion</li><li>0% IgG non recurrent spontaneous abortion</li></ul>
Jasim et al [100]	Iraq, Waset, Hospital	Case control, 1 year	162 Aborted women	55.5% IgG, 60.2% IgM
Al- Taie et al [101]	Iraq, Mosul, Private laboratory	Case control, 1 year	100 BOH	24% IgM
Almishhadani & Aljanabi [119]	Iraq, Al- Anbar, Medical Laboratory	Case control study, 3 years	230 Aborted women	90.4% IgG, 6.1% IgM
Majeed AK [117]	Iraq, Baghdad,	Case control, 3 years	135 Aborted women	20.7% IgG45.9% IgM
Alsaeed et al [118]	Iraq, Al-Hila, Hospital	Case control, 6 months	120 Aborted women	79.5% IgG, 18.8% IgM
Hadi NJ [130]	Iraq, Thi Qar, Hospital	Case control	190 Aborted women	16.84% IgG, 9.47% IgM
Salman YG [131]	Iraq, Kirkuk, Hospital	Case control, 11 months	84 BOH	8.02% Seropositive, 7.89% IgM
Al- Azzawi RHM, [264]	Iraq, Baghdad, Hospital	Cross sectional, 8 months	161 Non married women 15-35 yrs	67.1% IgG, 41% IgM
Khalf MS, et al [265]	Iraq, Baghdad, Hospital	Case control, 17 months	108 BOH	15.7% IgM
Hannachi N, et al [221]	Tunisia, Hospital	Cross sectional,	404 Pregnant women	96.3% seroprevalence
Al- Hindi A, et al [139]	Palestine, IVF centre	Retrospective, 6 years	1954 Women with infertility or abortion	6% IgM
Al- Shimmery MN [266]	Iraq, Diwanya, Hospital	Case control, 5 months	125 Aborted women	49.6% IgG, 22.4% IgM
Table VI. Characteristics and results of studies in Arab countries reporting prevalence of maternal CMV infection.				

60 aborted women         s       100 Pregnant women         s       231 Pregnant women         926 Pregnant women         s       180 Pregnant women	85% IgG, 65% IgM 95% IgG 72.2% IgG, 2.5% IgM 92.1% IgG 77.8% IgG, 57.2% IgM
<ul> <li>s 100 Pregnant women</li> <li>s 231 Pregnant women</li> <li>926 Pregnant women</li> <li>s 180 Pregnant women</li> </ul>	95% IgG 72.2% IgG, 2.5% IgM 92.1% IgG 77.8% IgG, 57.2% IgM
s     231 Pregnant women       926 Pregnant women       s     180 Pregnant women	72.2% IgG, 2.5% IgM 92.1% IgG 77.8% IgG, 57.2% IgM
926 Pregnant women       3     180 Pregnant women	92.1% IgG 77.8% IgG, 57.2% IgM
s 180 Pregnant women	77.8% IgG, 57.2% IgM
847 Women $> 20$ yr age	96.8% IgG, 2.7% IgM
hs 316 Female university students	74.5% seropositive
260 Pregnant, 100 Unmarried women, 898 Aborted women	IgM- 2.3% pregnant, 1% unmarried, 1.4% Abortion. IgG- 88% pregnant, 79% unmarried, 95% abortion
	students           260 Pregnant, 100 Unmarried women, 898 Aborted women

(continued).

## Herpes simplex virus

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) is an ubiquitous, enveloped, and double stranded DNA virus, belonging to the family of Herpesviridae transmitted across mucosal membranes and nonintact skin, that migrate to nerve tissues, where they persist in a latent state [270]. HSV-1 predominates in oro-facial lesions, and it is typically found in the trigeminal ganglia, whereas HSV-2 is most commonly found in the lumbo-sacral ganglia [271]. Nevertheless these viruses can infect both oro-facial areas and the genital tract. In some developed countries type 1 has recently emerged as the prominent causative agent in genital lesions [272]. Changes in sexual behaviours of young adults may partly explain its higher incidence [273,274].

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) infections are caused by two strains, HSV-1 and HSV-2. Oro-labial infection is mainly caused by HSV-1, however, this strain is responsible for up to 53% of primary genital herpetic infection [270]. HSV-2 genital infection is much more likely to recur than genital HSV-1 infection, thus the presence of antibody to HSV-2 and a compatible clinical history would be strong presumptive evidence that the disease is recurrent genital herpes [275-277]. In addition to agent factor, genetic may play a role in susceptibility to HSV infection [278]. Primary genital HSV-1 or HSV-2 infection in pregnant women can result in abortion, premature labor and congenital and neonatal herpes [279-281]. HSV-2 infections in the newborn are particularly severe and frequently involve the CNS [282]. Recent changes in HSV-1 and HSV-2 infection epidemiology have been reported, with type incidence changes and sequential genital infections with HSV-1 and HSV-2 [272,283].

Little is known about the risk factors associated with HSV seropositivity in pregnant Iraqi women. Identification of the risk factors may help to improve the control measures of HSV infection. Although there is improve in the diagnosis and treatment of TORCH infections, it still represents a problem in developing countries. Clinical diagnosis of TORCH is difficult, since most of the maternal infections with adverse outcomes are initially asymptomatic. Routine TORCH complex screening during pregnancy is not recommended in Iraq and the extent to which it is performed is unknown.

A first primary infection develops when a susceptible person (lacking of preexisting HSV-1 and HSV-2 antibodies) is exposed to HSV. Indeed, a first non-primary episode occurs when a

person with preexisting HSV antibodies (against type 1 or 2) experiences a first episode with the opposite HSV type. Recurrent infection occurs in a person with preexisting antibodies against the same HSV type [271]. Infections during pregnancy may be transmitted to newborns: HSV-1 and HSV-2 may cause eye or skin lesions, meningo-encephalitis, disseminated infections, or foetal malformations.

In recent years, genital herpes has become an increasing common sexually transmitted infection. From the late 1970s, HSV-2 seroprevalence has increased by 30%, resulting that one out of five adults is infected [284,285]. HSV seroprevalence in patients with STD varies from 17% to 40% (6% in the general population and 14% in pregnant women) [286,287]. Age and sex are important risk factors associated with the acquisition of genital HSV-2 infection. In fact, the prevalence of HSV infection rises with age, reaching the maximum around 40 years [284]. This infection appears related to the number of sexual partners, and regarding sex it is more frequent in women than in men [288,289]. In addition, ethnicity, poverty, cocaine abuse, earlier onset of sexual activity, sexual behavior, and bacterial vaginosis can facilitate a woman's risk of infection before pregnancy [290,291].

Regarding pregnant population, there is a high prevalence of genital herpes, however, it is varies from country to others, depending on social and sexual behaviors and activity [289,292-294].

The risk of neonatal infection varies from 30% to 50% for HSV infections that onset in late pregnancy (last trimester), whereas early pregnancy infection carries a risk of about 1% [295-297]. Thirty-one studies [1,3,90,91,96,204,256,298-320] outlining the prevalence of maternal Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) were identified (Tabl. VII). These studies detected the presence of antibodies to HSV as a marker of maternal infection. Median prevalence of IgG HSV-2 was 18.2% which was reported for Belgium [315,357]. In pregnant women, higher seroprevalences were noted in Germany (82%), Turkey (63.1%), Zimbabwe (51.1%), and Iran (43.75%) [298,299,309,313]. However, the lowest seroprevalences were reported in two studies in Turkey [204,314], which reported a rates of 4.4% and 5%. In women with BOH, the highest prevalence (33.58%) was reported in India [3], while the lowest one (18.6%) was reported in India also [91].

Concerning IgM, the highest prevalence in pregnant women was reported in Turkey (13.8%, 130 pregnant women) [298], while the lowest rate was reported in Turkey (0%, 249 pregnant women) also [204].

In women with BOH, the highest prevalence (16.8%, 450 BOH) was noted in India [320], while the lowest rate (1.69%, 86 BOH) was reported in India also [91].

In Arab countries, nine studies [35,99-101,129,147,268,319,320] outlining the prevalence of maternal HSV-2 were identified (Table VIII). The median IgG seroprevalence was 27.1%,

which was noted in Saudi Arabia [147]. A higher (27.1%, 926 pregnant women) IgG maternal seroprevalence in pregnant women was reported in Saudi Arabia [147], while the lower rate (6.5%, 459 pregnant women) was noted in Saudi Arabia also [319]. In women with BOH IgG seroprevalence was 60.6%, which was reported in Iraq [100]. Concerning IgM, the highest prevalence's were reported in Iraq [100,129] for both pregnant women (28.9%, 180 pregnant women) and those with BOH (73.9%, 62 BOH).

Article	Location, setting of study	Type, duration of study	Population	Results
Kurewa et al, [299]	Zimbabwe, peri-urban clinics	Cross sectional, 19 months	691 Pregnant women	51.10% IgG
Yahya-Malima et al, [300]	Tanzania, antenatal clinics (6)	Cross sectional,	1296 Pregnant women	20.7% prevalence of genital herpes
Chen et al, [301]	China, antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 3 months	502 pregnant women	10.8% seroprevalence
Haddow et al, [302]	Australia, antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 2 years	535 pregnant women	30% seroprevalence
Joesoef et al, [303]	Indonesia, prenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 15 months	599 pregnant women	9.9% seroprevalence
Surpam et al [86]	India, Antenatal clinic	Case control,	150 BOH	8.66% IgM
Kumari N et al [1]	Nepal, Hospital	Case control, 4 months	12 BOH	33.3% Seropositive
Nabi SN et al [90]	Bangladesh, Hospital	Case control, 10 months	111 Pregnant women	9.91% IgG, 1.8% IgM
Sadik MS et al [91]	India, Hospital	Case control, 2 years	86 BOH	18.6% IgG, 1.69% IgM
Ozdemir M et al [204]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional, 6 months	249 Pregnant women	4.4% IgG, 0% IgM
Xu F et al [304]	USA, Hospital	Cross sectional, 4 years	626 Pregnant women	22% seroprevalence
Kucera P et al [305]	Switzerland, Hospital	Cross sectional,	1030 Pregnant women	21.2% seroprevalence
Patrick MD et al [306]	Canada, antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 1 year	1215 Pregnant women	17.3 % seroprevalence
Munjoma MW et al [307]	Zimbabwe, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 6 months	354 Pregnant women	49.1% seroprevalence
Diawara S et al [308]	Senegal, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 6 months	260 Pregnant women	22% seropositivity
Sauerbri A et al [309]	Germany, Hospital	Cross sectional, 8 years	200 Pregnant women	82% IgG
Ades AE et al [310]	UK, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 years	3533 Pregnant women	10.4% IgG
Rathore S et al [311]	Kashmir, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional, 2 year	200 Pregnant women	7.5% IgG
Duran N [298]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional, 21 months	130 Pregnant women	63.1 % IgG, 13.8% IgM
Biswas D et al [312]	India, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 years	1640 Pregnant women	8.7% HSV-2 IgG
Shahraki AD et al [313]	Iran, Hospital	Cross sectional,	96 Pregnant women	43.75% HSV-2 IgG
Dolar N et al [314]	Turkey, Hospital	Cross sectional,	300 Pregnant women	5% HSV-2 IgG
Bodeus M, et al [315]	Belgium, Hospital	Cross sectional,	1000 Pregnant women	18.2% HSV-2 IgG
Chen XS et al [301]	China, Hospital	Cross sectional, 3 months	504 Pregnant women	10.8% seroprevalence
Sasadeusz JJ et al [316]	Australia, Antenatal clinic	Cross sectional,	1371 Pregnant women	13.6% seroprevalence
Vilibik-Cavlek T, et al [96]	Croatia, Hospital	Cross sectional, 5 years	Pregnant & non pregnant women	6.8% IgG, 1.2% IgM
Straface G et al [317]	Italy, Review	Retrospective		7.6 – 8.4% seroprevalence Italy22% seroprevalence USA
Kim D et al [318]	Korea, Hospital	Retrospective, 19 months	500 Pregnant women	17% HSV-2 seroprevalence
Gumber S et al [256]	India, Hospital	Cross sectional, 17 months	150 BOH	3.33% IgM
Turbadkar D, et al [3]	India, Antenatal clinic	Case control, 1 year	380 BOH	33.58% IgG, 3.6% IgM
Li et al [319]	China, Hospital	Cross sectional	1740 Pregnant women	23.56% seroprevalence
Haider M, et al [320]	India, Hospital	Case control	450 BOH	16.8% IgM
Table VII. Characteristics and results of studies reporting prevalence of maternal HSV-2 infection.				

Article	Location, setting of study	Type, duration of study	Population	Results
Abdulmohaymen N [99]	Iraq, Baghdad, Hospital	Case control, 9 months	119 Women with history of abortion	8.1% IgM recurrent spontaneous abortion 17.4% IgM non recurrent spontaneous abortion.
Jasim et al [100]	Iraq, Waset, Hospital	Case control, 1 year	162 Women with spontaneous abortion	606% IgG, 73.9% IgM
Al- Taie et al [101]	Iraq, Mosul, Private laboratory	Case control, 1 year	100 BOH	11% IgM
Alzahrani et al [319]	Saudi Arabia, Hospital	Cross sectional,	459 Pregnant women	6.5% IgG, 0.5% IgM
Obeid EO [320]	Saudi Arabia, Hospital	Cross sectional, 2 years	459 Pregnant women	6.8% IgG
Barah F [317]	Syria, University Laboratory	Cross sectional, 15 months	316 Female university students	52% seropositive
Ghazi HO, et al [192]	Saudi Arabia, Hospital	Cross sectional	926 Pregnant women	27.1% IgG
Al-Marzoqi AHM, et al [174]	Iraq, Babylon, Hospital	Cross sectional, 6 months	180 Pregnant women	22.2% IgG, 28.9% IgM
Abu- Madi MA, et al [87]	Qatar, Hospital	Cross sectional, 3 years	847 Women > 20 yr age	26.3% IgG, 7.6% IgM
Table VIII. Characteristics and results of studies in Arab countries reporting prevalence of maternal HSV-2 infection				

## Gaps in existing knowledge

In the process of reviewing the subject, we identified several facility-based retrospective studies reporting causes of maternal mortality. Many of these studies attributed a proportion of deaths to infection or sepsis, but were unable to provide microbiological or serological evidence of the specific underlying mortality causes. Our review confirms the suspected high prevalence of parasitic and viral maternal infections in the developing world, as demonstrated by the median prevalence rates calculated for each pathogen studied. Of particular concern are the aetiology of infection. The literature review highlights a gap in existing knowledge on the epidemiology and impact of maternal infection, especially on the aetiology of infectious agents that lead to puerperal sepsis and subsequent mortality. Increased surveillance and diagnostic capabilities in healthcare facilities and in the community is needed to identify the aetiological agents responsible for puerperal sepsis and maternal mortality. The prevalence of maternal infection reported by the studies identified in this literature review may be an underestimate of actual rates of infection as not all pregnant women in developing countries may have access to or choose to access formalized antenatal care. This could be due to financial constraints, difficulties in accessing these facilities and personal or cultural beliefs. In addition, antenatal care services may not have the capacity to routinely screen for maternal infections, especially those that are asymptomatic and those that require serological tests such as PCR and ELISA to diagnose, due to limited resources or expertise. These infrastructural problems are essential contributors to the persistence of high maternal morbidity and mortality in developing countries and need to be overcome in order to accurately characterize the burden of maternal infections in these countries.

## Conclusion

This literature review highlights the high bacterial and viral maternal infection rates in the developing world. Urgent, concerted action is required to reduce the burden of these infections. In addition to raising awareness about the severity of the problem of maternal infections in the developing world, data from this review will be beneficial in guiding public health policy, research interests and donor funding towards achieving improvement in health care delivery.

## REFERENCES

222. Fowler KB, Stagno S, Pass RF, Britt WJ, Boll TB, Alford CA: The outcome of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in relation to maternal antibody status. New Eng J Med. 1992; 326:663–7.

223. Kenneson A, Cannon MJ: Review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology of congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection. Reviews Med Virolo. 2007;17:253–76.

224. Colugnati FA, Staras SA, Dollard SC, Cannon MJ: Incidence of cytomegalovirus infection among the general population and pregnant women in the United States. BMC Infect Dis. 2007;7:71.

225. Adler SP: Screening for cytomrgalovirus during pregnancy. Infect Dis Obstetri Gynecol. 2011;2011:942937.

226. Forsgren M: Prevention of congenital and perinatal infections. Euro Surveillance. 2009;14:2–4.

227. Bodéus M, Kabamba-Mukadi B, Zech F, Hubinont C, Bernard P, Goubau P: Human cytomegalovirus in utero transmission: followup of 524 maternal seroconversions. J Clin Virol. 2010;47:201–202. 228. Lazzarotto T, Spezzacatena P, Varani S, Gabrielli L, Pradelli P, Guerra B, et al: Anticytomegalovirus (Anti-CMV) immunoglobulin G avidity in identification of pregnant women at risk of transmitting congenital CMV infection. Clin Diagn Lab Immunolo. 1999;6:127–9. 229. Nigro G, Adler SP, La Torre R, Best AM: Passive immunization during pregnancy for congenital cytomegalovirus infection. New Eng J of Med. 2005;353:1350–62.

230. Vauloup-Fellous C, Picone O, Cordier AG, Parent-du-Châtelet I, Senat MV, Frydman R, et al: Does hygiene counseling have an impact on the rate of CMV primary infection during pregnancy? Results of a 3-year prospective study in a French hospital. J Clin Virol. 2009; 46:S49–S53.

231. Francisse S, Revelard P, De Maertelaer V, Strebelle E, Englert Y, Liesnard C: Human cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and risk of seroconversion in a fertility clinic population. Obst Gyn. 2009;114:285–91.

232. Adler SP: Cytomegalovirus and child day care: risk factors for maternal infection. Ped Infect Dis J. 1991;10:590–4.

233. Adler SP, Finney JW, Manganello AM, Best AM: Prevention of child-to-mother transmission of cytomegalovirus among pregnant women. J Ped. 2004;145:485–91.

234. Pass RF, Hutto C, Ricks R, Cloud GA: Increased rate of CMV infection among parents of children attending day-care centers. New Eng J Med. 1986;314:1414–6.

235. Fowler KB, Pass RF: Risk factors for congenital cytomegalovirus infection in the offspring of young women: exposure to young children and recent onset of sexual activity. Pediatrics. 2006;118: 286–92.

236. Adler SP: Cytomegalovirus and child day care: evidence for an increased infection rate among day-care workers. New Eng J Med. 1989;321:1290–6.

237. Murph JR, Baron JC, Brown CK, Ebelhack CL, Bale Jr JF: The occupational risk of cytomegalovirus infection among day-care providers. J Am Med Assoc. 1991;265:603–8.

238. Pass RF, Hutto C, Lyon D, Cloud G: Increased rate of cytomegalovirus infection among day care center workers. Ped Infect Dis J. 1990;9:465–70.

239. Adler SP: Cytomegalovirus," in Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control, G. Mayhall, Ed., Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 3rd edition, 2004.

240. Adler SP: Molecular epidemiology of cytomegalovirus: a study of factors affecting transmission among children at three day-care centers. Ped Infect Dis J. 1991;10:584–90.

241. Marshall BC, Adler SP: The frequency of pregnancy and exposure to cytomegalovirus (CMV) infections among women with a young child in day care. Am J Obs Gyn. 2008;200:163–5.

242. Tabatabaee M, Tayyebi D: Seroepidemiologic study of human cytomegalovirus in pregnant women in Valiasr Hospital of Kazeroon, Fars, Iran. J Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med. 2009;22:517–21.

243. Picone O, Vauloup-Fellous C, Cordier AG, Parent Du Châtelet I, Senat MV, Frydman R, et al: A 2-year study on cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy in a French hospital. BJOG. 2009;116:818-23.

244. Paschale MD, Manco MT, Paganini A, Agrappi C, Mirri P, et al: Rubella antibody screening during pregnancy in an urban area of Northern Italy. Infec Dis Rep. 2012;4:59-62.

245. Sarawathy TS, Az-Ulhusna AA, Nurul R, Ashikin RN, Suriani SS, Zainah SS: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women and associated role in obstetric complications: a preliminary study. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2011;42:320-2.

246. Akinbami AA, Rabiu KA, Adewunmi AA, Wright KO, Dosunmu AO, et al: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus antibodies amongst normal pregnant women in Nigeria. Int J Womens Health. 2011;3:423–8.

247. Bagheri L, Mokhtarian H, Sarshar N, Ghahramani M: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection among pregnant women in Eastern Iran. Braz J Infect Dis. 2012;16:402-3.

248. Arabpour M, Kaviyanee K, Jankhah A, Yaghobi R: Human cytomegalovirus infection in women of childbearing age throughout Fars Province - Iran: a population-based cohort study. Malaysian J Microbiol. 2007;3:23-8.

249. Cannon MJ, Schmid DS, Hyde TB: Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. Rev Med Virol. 2010,20:202-13.

250. Ahmad RM, Kawo AH, Udeani TKC, Manga SB, Ibrahim ML, Danjuma B: Sero-Prevalence of Cytomegalo Virus Antibodies in Pregnant Women Attending Two Selected Hospitals in Sokoto State, North-Western Nigeria. Bay J Pure Applied Sci. 2011;4:63-66.

251. Seo S, Cho Y, Park J: Serologic screening of pregnant Korean women for primary human cytomegalovirus infection using IgG avidity test. Korean J Lab Med. 2009;29:557-62

252. Gaj Z, Rycel M, Wilczyński J, Nowakowska D: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in the population of Polish pregnant women. Ginekol Pol. 2012;83:337-41.

253. Knowles SJ, Grundy K, Cahill I, Cafferkey MT, Geary M: Low cytomegalovirus seroprevalence in Irish pregnant women. Ir Med J. 2005;98:210–2.

254. Yamamoto AY, Castellucci RAC, Mussi-Pinhata MM: Early high CMV seroprevalence in pregnant women from a population with a high rate of congenital infection. Epidemiol Infect . 2012;3:1-5.

255. Chen MH, Chen PC, Jeng SF, Hsieh CJ, Su FC, Liao HF, et al: High perinatal seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus in northern Taiwan. J Paediatr Child Health. 2008;44:166-9.

256. Gumber S, Arora U, Devi P: Occurrence of cytomegalo virus and herpes simplex virus infections in pregnancy. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2008;26:204-5.

257. Dollard SC, Staras SAS, Amin MM, Schmid DS, Cannon MJ: National Prevalence Estimates for Cytomegalovirus IgM and IgG Avidity and Association between High IgM Antibody Titer and Low IgG Avidity. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2011;18:1895–99.

258. Enders G, Daiminger A, Lindemann L, Knotek F, Bäder U, Exler S, Enders M: Cytomegalovirus (CMV) seroprevalence in pregnant women, bone marrow donors and adolescents in Germany, 1996-2010. Med Microbiol Immunol. 2012;201:303-9.

259. Correa CB, Kourí V, Verdasquera D, Martínez PA, Alvarez A, Alemán Y, et al: HCMV seroprevalence and associated risk factors in pregnant women, Havana City, 2007 to 2008. Prenat Diagn. 2010; 30:888.

260. Rajaii1 M, Pourhassan A: Evaluation of immunity against CMV in Azarbaijan female population. Iranian J Clin Infect Dis. 2008;3:143-8.

261. Ashrafunnessa, Khatun SS, Islam MN, Rashid MN: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus antibody in antenatal population in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull. 2009;35:110-2.

262. Falahi S, Ravanshad M, Koohi AK, Karimi AM: Short Communication: Seroprevalence of CMV in women's with spontaneous abortion in kowsar hospital, Ilam during 2007-2008. Modares J Med Sci Pathobiol. 2010;12:39-43.

263. Oruç1 AS, Çelen S, Çitil A, Saygan S, Ünlü S, Danişman N: Screening of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence among pregnant women in Ankara, Turkey: A controversy in prenatal care. Afr J Microbiol Res. 2011;5:5304-7.

264. Al-Azzawi RHM: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infectionin premarital women in some Baghdad hospitals. Iraqi J Sci. 2012;53:40-5.

265. Khalf MS, Ahmad DW, Ibraheem KA: The Seroprevalence of IgM Among Iraqi Aborted Women Infected with Human Cytomegalovirus. Iraqi Postgrad Med J. 2012;11:123-9.

266. Al-Shimmery MN, Al-Hilaly HA, Al-Khafaji AA: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus and toxoplasmosis in cases of miscarriages women in Al-Diwaniyah province. Al-Qadisiah Med J. 2011;7:160-8.

267. Kafi S, Eldouma E, Saeed S, Musa H: Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus among blood donors and antenatal women attending two hospitals in Khartoum State. Sudan Med Sci. 2009;4:399-401.

268. Barah F: Prevalence of herpes simplex types 1 and 2, varicella zoster virus, cytomegalovirus, immunoglobulin G antibodies among female university students in Syria. Saudi Med J. 2012;33:990-4.

269. Daboubi M, Al-Zaben S: Cytomegalovirus infection in women of childbearing age in Jordan. Jordan Med J. 2000;34:106-8.

270. Alsamarai AGM, Potter CW: Type incidence of HSV in clinical isolates from patients with herpes genitalis. Ann Saudi Med. 1990;10: 156-60.

271. Gupta R, Warren T, Wald A: Genital herpes. Lancet. 2007;370: 2127–37.

272. Alsamarai AGM, Shareef AA, Kinghorn G, Potter CW: Sequential genital infections with herpes simplex virus dtypes 1 and 2. Genitourin Med. 1989;65:39-41.

273. Paz-Bailey G, Ramaswamy M, Hawkes SJ, Geretti: Herpes simplex virus type 2: epidemiology and management options in developing countries. Sex Trans Infect. 2007;83:16–22.

274. Roberts CM, Pfister JR, Spear SJ: Increasing proportion of herpes simplex virus type 1 as a cause of genital herpes infection in college students. Sex Trans Dis. 2003;30:797–800.

275. Corey L, Adams HG, Brown ZA, Homes KK: Genital herpes simplex virus infections: clinical manifestations, course, and complications. Ann Intern Med. 1983;98:958.

276. Kulhanjian JA, Soroush V, Au DS, Bronzan RN, Yasukawa LL, Weylman LE, et al: Identification of women at unsuspected risk of primary infection with herpes simplex virus type 2 during pregnancy. N Engl J Med. 1992;326:916.

277, Frenkel LM, Garratty EM, Shen JP, Wheeler N, Clark O, Bryson YJ: Clinical reactivation of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in seropositive pregnant women with no history of genital herpes. Ann Intern Med. 1993;118:414.

278. Jabar AA, al-Samarai AM, al-Amar NS: HLA antigens associated with susceptibility to HSV infection. Dis Mark [USA]. 1991;9;281-7.

279. Kimberlin DW, Whitley RJ: Neonatal herpes: what have we learned. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis. 2005;16:7-16.

280. Brown ZA, Selke S, Zeh J, Kopelman J, Maslow A, Ashley RL, et al: The acquisition of herpes simplex virus during pregnancy. N Engl J Med. 1997;337:509-15.

281. Brown Z: Preventing herpes simplex virus transmission to the neonate. Herpes. 2004;3:175A-86A.

282. Whitley RJ. Herpes Simplex Viruses. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM, Griffin DE, et al: eds. Fields Virology. 4th ed. Vol 2. New York: Lippincott 2001; 2461-509.

283. Al-Hasani AM, Barton IG, AL-Omer LS, Kinghorn GR, Potter CW: Susceptibility of HSV strains from patients with genital herpes treated with various formulations of ACV. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1986;18:113-20S.

284. Cusini M, Ghislanzoni M: The importance of diagnosing genital herpes. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2001;47:9–16.

285. Weiss H: Epidemiology of herpes simplex virus Type 2 infection in the developing world. Herpes. 2004;11,supplement 1:24A–35A.

286. Cusini M, Cusan M, Parolin C: Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection among attendees of a sexually transmitted disease clinic in Italy. Sex Transm Dis. 2000;27:292–5.

287. Cunningham AL, Lee FK, Ho DW, Field PR, Law CL, Packham DR, et al: Herpes simplex virus type 2 antibody in patients attending antenatal or STD clinics. Med J Aust. 1993;158:525–8.

288. Smith JS, Robinson NJ:. Age-specific prevalence of infection with herpes simplex virus types 2 and 1: a global review. J Infect Dis. 2002;186:S3–S28.

289. Suligoi B, Cusan M, Santopadre P, Palù G, Catania S, Girelli G, et al: HSV-2 specific seroprevalence among various populations in Rome, Italy. The Italian herpes management forum. Sex Transm Infect. 2000;76:213–4.

290. Cherpes TL, Meyn LA, Krohn MA, Lurie JG, Hillier SL: Association between acquisition of herpes simplex virus type 2 in women and bacterial vaginosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37:319–25.

291. Gottlieb SL, Douglas JM Jr, Schmid DS, Bolan G, Iatesta M, Malotte CK, et al: Seroprevalence and correlates of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in five sexually transmitted-disease clinics. J Infect Dis. 2002;186:1381–9.

292. Arvaja M, Lehtinen M, Koskela P, Lappalainen M, Paavonen J, Vesikari T: Serological evaluation of herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 infections in pregnancy. Sex Transm Infect. 1999;75:168–71.

293. Ciavattini A, Vichi M, Rinci A, Tsiroglou D: Infezioni virali in gravidanza: gestione e raccomandazioni. La Colposcop Italia. 2007;2:11–6.

294. Brown ZA, Selke S, Zeh J, Kopelman J, Maslow A, Ashley RL, et al: The acquisition of herpes simplex virus during pregnancy. New Eng J Med. 1997;337:509–15.

295. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Website, Sexually transmitted disease guidelines,http://www.cdc.gov/std /treatment/ 2006/rr5511.pdf.

296. Enright AM, Prober CG: Neonatal herpes infection: diagnosis, treatment and prevention. Semin Neonatol. 2002;7:283–91.

297. Chen KT, Segú M, Lumey LH, Kuhn L, Carter RJ, Bulterys M, et al: Genital herpes simplex virus infection and perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus. Obst Gyne. 2005;106:1341–8.

298. Duran N, Fugen Y, Cuneyt E, Fatih K: Asymptomatic herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) infection among pregnant women in Turkey. Indian J Med Res. 2004;120:106-10.

299. Kurewa NE, Mapingure MP, Munjoma MW, Chirenje MZ, Rusakaniko S, Stray-Pedersen B: The burden and risk factors of sexually transmitted infections and reproductive tract infections among pregnant women in Zimbabwe. BMC Infect Dis. 2010;10:e127. 300. Yahya-Malima KI, Evjen-Olsen B, Matee MI, Fylkesnes K, Haarr L: HIV-1, HSV-2 and syphilis among pregnant women in a rural area of Tanzania: prevalence and risk factors. BMC Infect Dis. 2008;8:e75.

301. Chen X, Yin Y, Chen L, Yu Y, Wei W, Thuy NTT, et al: Herpes simplex virus 2 infection in women attending an antenatal clinic in Fuzhou, China. Sex Transmit Infect. 2007;83:369–70.

302. Haddow LJ, Sullivan EA, Taylor J, Abel M, Cunningham AL, Tabrizi S: Herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) infection in women attending an antenatal clinic in the South Pacific island nation of Vanuatu. Sex Transmit Dis. 2007;34:258–61.

303. Joesoef MR, Sumampouw H, Linnan M, Schmid S, Idajadi A, Louis ME et al: Sexually transmitted diseases in pregnant women in Surabaya, Indonesia. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1996;174:115–9.

304. Xu F, Markowitz LE, Gottlieb SL, Berman SM: Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 in pregnant women in the United States. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;196:43.e1-6.

305. Kucera P, Gerber S, Marques-Vidal P, Meylan PR: Seroepidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 1 and 2 in pregnant women in Switzerland: an obstetric clinic based study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2012;160:13-7.

306. Patrick DM, Dawar M, Cook DA, Krajden M, Ng HC, Rekart ML: Antenatal seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) in Canadian women: HSV-2 prevalence increases throughout the reproductive years. Sex Transm Dis. 2001;28:424-8.

307. Munjoma MW, Kurewa E, Mapingure MP, Mashavave GV, Chirenje MZ, et al: The prevalence, incidence and risk factors of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection among pregnant Zimbabwean women followed up nine months after childbirth. BMC Women Health. 2010;10:1-8.

308. Diawara S, Toure Kane C, Legoff J, Gaye AG, Mboup S, Bélec L: Low seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 2 among pregnant women in Senegal. Int J STD AIDS. 2008;19:159-60.

309. Sauerbrei A, Schmitt S, Scheper T, Brandstödt A, Saschenbrecker S, Motz M, et al: Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in Thuringia, Germany, 1999 to 2006. Euro Surveill. 2011;16:pii=20005.

310. Ades AE, Peckham CS, Dale GE, Best JM, Jeansson S: Prevalence of antibodies to herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 in pregnant women, and estimated rates of infection. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1989;43:53-60.

311. Rathore S, Jamwal A, Gupta V: Herpes simplex virus type 2: Seroprevalence in antenatal women. Indian J STD & AIDS. 2010;31:11-5.

312. Biswas D, Borkakoty B, Mahanta J, Walia K, Saikia L, Akoijam BS: Seroprevalence and risk factors of herpes simplex virus type-2 infection among pregnant women in Northeast India. BMC Infect Dis. 2011;11:325-3.

313. Shahraki AD, Moghim S, Akbari P: A survey on herpes simplex type 2 antibody among pregnant women in Isfahan, Iran. J Res Med Sci. 2010;15:243.

314. Dolar N, Serdaroglu S, Yilmaz G, Ergin S: Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in Turkey. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2006;20:1232-6.

315 Bodeus M, Laffineur K, Kabamba-Mukadi B, et al. Seroepidemiology of herpes simplex type 2 in pregnant women in Belgium. Sex Transm Dis 2004;31:297–300.

316. Sasadeusz JJ, Silvers JE, Kent HE, Devenish W, Hocking J, Garland SM: Prevalence of HSV-2 antibody in a Melbourne antenatal population attending a tertiary obstetric hospital. Austra New Zeal J Obst Gynae. 2008;48:266-72.

317. Straface G, Selmin A, Zanardo V, Santis MD, Ercoli A, Scambia G: Herpes Simplex Virus Infection in Pregnancy. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2012;2012:385697.

318. Kim D, Chang HS, Hwang KJ: Herpes Simplex Virus 2 Infection Rate and Necessity of Screening during Pregnancy: A Clinical and Seroepidemiologic Study. Yonsei Med J. 2010;53:401-7. 319. Alzahrani1 AJ, Obeid OE, Almulhim AA, Awari B, Taha A, et al: Analysis of Herpes Simplex 1 and 2 IgG and IgM Antibodies in Pregnant Women and their Neonates. Saudi J Obstet Gynaecol. 2005;5:53-7.

320. Obeid OE: Prevalence of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 and associated sociodemographic variables in pregnant women attending King Fahd Hospital of the university. J Fam Commun Med. 2007;14:3-7.

Copyright by *Abdulghani Mohamed Alsamarai, et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.