Destombes and Rosai-Dorfman disease of the anterior abdominal wall - Extra nodal sinus histiocytosis with massive lymphadenopathy
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ABSTRACT

Destombes–Rosai–Dorfman disease—often simply referred to, in the literature, as Rosai–Dorfman disease (RDD)—is a rare, nonmalignant disorder of histiocyte proliferation typically involving the cervical lymph nodes. A subset of patients with RDD, however, display extranodal manifestations highly variable in presentation, more challenging to diagnose, and less likely to spontaneously regress when compared to the nodal manifestations. This study describes the case of a young African male presenting himself with multiple nodules involving the anterior abdominal wall, who was found to have extranodal RDD. The current mode of diagnosis and the clinical management of RDD are reviewed.
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INTRODUCTION

Sinus histiocytosis with massive cervical lymphadenopathy is a non-neoplastic proliferative disease of histiocytes of unknown etiology. It is commonly referred to as Rosai–Dorfman disease (RDD), although it was Destombes who first reported it [1]. The nodal presentation of RDD is fairly common and poses little difficulty in diagnosis. However, the extranodal presentation is uncommon and often presents a diagnostic challenge to pathologists. We report a case and present the modern tools available to aid in such diagnosis.

CASE REPORT

A 29-year-old African male presented himself to the Accident and Emergency department with a two-year history of an initial pustule on the left upper abdomen. During that period, however, the pustule resolved and was replaced by multiple, small, nonfluid-filled, erythematous papules in a hyperpigmented area. The lesions were nonpruritic. There were no constitutional symptoms.

An examination revealed a 3 × 2.5 cm area of hyperpigmented skin on the left upper abdomen with multiple non-tender, firm papules. There was no lymphadenopathy.

Laboratory investigations performed showed a complete blood count with normal parameters. Liver and renal function tests were essentially normal, except for hypoglobulinemia of 2.74 g/dL (range: 3.2–3.9 g/dL) (Table 1).

An excision biopsy of the lesion was done and sent for histological examination.
Histology

Received for histology was a 3.5 × 3 × 2.4 cm ellipse of skin with multiple firm tan papules. The cut sections revealed firm, rubbery, faint-pink tissue (Fig. 1).

The histopathology of the skin lesion mimicked those seen in lymph nodes with sinus histiocytosis with massive lymphadenopathy.

The lesion was composed mainly of a well-circumscribed lymphohistiocytic infiltrate involving the dermis and subcutaneous tissue (Fig. 2a). The lesional histiocytes constituted a large central hyperchromatic nucleus set in abundant, pale, water-clear cytoplasm and single distinct nucleoli. Within the lesional histiocytes, emperipolesis was evident, which constituted intact lymphocytes and neutrophils in the cytoplasm (Figs. 2b and 2c). Mature lymphocytes and numerous plasma cells and neutrophils were also present between histiocytes. Mitosis was rare and necrosis was absent.

The overlying epidermis showed hyperkeratosis, parakeratosis, and atrophy of rete ridges. Several binucleated histiocytes resembling Reed–Sternberg (RS) cells were seen, but there was a complete lack of expression of the markers CD15 and CD30, which makes Hodgkin Lymphoma quite unlikely.

Immunohistochemical stains confirmed the diagnosis of extranodal RDD by showing positivity for CD68 and S100 (Figs. 2d and 2e).

### Table 1: The hematological and biochemical profile of the patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>7.57 (4-11) × 10^3/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>14.6 (11-17) g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>5.33 (3.8-5.5) × 10^6/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>43.2 (36-50) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>81.1 (83-101) fl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>224 (150-410) × 10^3/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>12 (6-20) mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-1.2) mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>4.39 (3.5-5.1) mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>135 (136-145) mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>98.7 (98-107) mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Bilirubin</td>
<td>0.21 (0.01-0.6) mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Bilirubin</td>
<td>0.20 (0.00-0.20) mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>39 (0-41) U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>26 (0-40) U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>94 (40-129) U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT</td>
<td>29.3 (0-60) U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>4.61 (3.5-5.2) g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Protein</td>
<td>7.35 (6.8-8.7) g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Globulin</td>
<td>2.74 (3.2-3.9) g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bilirubin</td>
<td>0.41 (0.0-1.2) mg/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The disease entity first reported in French by Destombes in 1965 [1] was further characterized by Rosai and Dorfman in 1969 [2]. Hence, it would be fitting for the disease to bear the name of the original researcher. Destombes–Rosai–Dorfman disease is seen in all ages and ethnicities, but with a slight predominance in young males.

The classic presentation includes fever and painless massive cervical lymphadenopathy, the latter showing sinus histiocytosis with emperipolesis as the hallmark of the disease [3]. Other lymph node groups may be involved.

RDD is a benign proliferative histiocytic disorder of unknown etiology, self-limiting in most instances. The extranodal manifestations may present themselves in any site, with cases reported in the bone, eyelid, skin, central nervous system, lungs, and other sites with or without nodal involvement [4-8].

The extranodal lesions often present a challenge to histopathologists because of the broad differentials for
lymphohistiocytosis, and all these histiocytic disorders share the common pathological findings of abnormal proliferation of histiocytes.

Disorders warranting a differential diagnosis with RDD include lipogranulomatosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, Hodgkin disease, metastatic carcinoma, malignant melanoma, and Erdheim–Chester disease.

A lack of Touton giant cells eliminates the diagnosis of lipogranuloma. Langerhans cell histiocytosis, similarly to RDD, demonstrates lymphohistiocytic proliferation and positive expression of S100 and CD1a. However, cells of Langerhans cell histiocytosis lack lymphophagocytosis and, ultrastructurally, reveal the presence of the characteristic rod-shaped Birbeck granules. Lacunar cells of nodular sclerosis, a form of Hodgkin disease, may on occasion be confused with RDD cells. However, Reed–Sterberg cells and their variants do not exhibit emperipolesis. They are S100-protein-negative, CD15-positive, and CD30-positive. Negative staining for HMB-45 and pankeratin differentiate RDD from melanoma and metastatic carcinoma [9].

Erdheim–Chester disease is a rare non-Langerhans cell histiocytosis and a true histiocytic neoplasm characterized by multisystemic proliferation of mature histiocytes in a background of inflammatory cells [10]. Cutaneous infiltrates in Erdheim–Chester disease contain xanthogranulomata with large Touton giant cells, which are not reactive cells, but part of the neoplastic process. In addition, there is the common background of inflammatory cells of foamy histiocytes, lymphocytes, and neutrophils, as observed in RDD. Emperipolesis is not a feature of Erdheim–Chester disease.

The clinical and pathological features in our case fit those described by Destombes, Rosai, and Dorfman, with emperipolesis being the hallmark and the distinguishing feature [3].

Surgical excision of these lesions in extranodal sites is the treatment of choice, although there have been reports of recurrence after excision [11]. Therapy with acitretin, thalidomide, methotrexate, and steroids has shown promising results in cases that recurred after surgical excision [12,13]. Complete resolution of the disease has been reported with siltuximab therapy [14]. Further research needs to be done to better understand the underlying pathophysiology of RDD. In doing so, clinical management would become less problematic.

**CONCLUSION**

The extranodal manifestations of Destombes–Rosai–Dorfman disease (RDD) present numerous diagnostic and therapeutic challenges, as it may occur in any organ or site. However, the use of immunotyping aids the pathologist in making the diagnosis. There is much to learn about the etiology of RDD for effective therapy to be possible.

**Consent**

The examination of the patient was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms, in which the patients gave their consent for images and other clinical information to be included in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due effort will be made to conceal their identity, but that anonymity cannot be guaranteed.
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